

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-489-4742

864-902-3500

864-839-6723

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS		
PERFIRMANIE IRENIS	LIVER 4-YEAR PE	RILID

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS					
	Teachers	Students	Parents		
Number of surveys returned	19	32	32		
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%		
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	89.5%	100.0%	87.1%		
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	68.4%	100.0%	96.8%		

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP						icient and St.
		PLIP Rent 1 St. Incl.		John Basic		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	and
		ent Testill	lested old de	"Bas.	Basic ok	Aicien /	wance	cient and stranged
	Tolli	40, 010	(8) \ (8)	ion,	360 O	Sec 0/0	ACT OTO	PGALO
	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	`	0/0		/		0/0,	<u>/</u> 5
All atual and a			Ξī	igiisii/Lai	iguage A			
All students	127	100.0	40.9	44.3	14.8	N/A	14.8	17.6
Gender Male	00	400.0	F0 F	00.0	0.5	NI/A	0.5	47.0
Male Female	68	100.0	52.5	39.0	8.5	N/A	8.5	17.6
	59	100.0	28.6	50.0	21.4	N/A	21.4	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group White	84	100.0	39.2	43.0	17.7	N/A	17.7	17.6
African-American	39	100.0	44.1	47.1	8.8	N/A	8.8	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander		0.0	44.1 N/A	47.1 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	N/A	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	4						,	
Disability Status	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Not disabled	440	100.0	20.7	AE 2	16.0	N/A	16.0	17.6
Disabled	116		38.7	45.3 N/A	16.0		16.0 N/A	17.6
Migrant Status	11	100.0	N/A	IN/A	N/A	N/A	IN/A	17.0
Migrant Status	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant			40.9				14.8	17.6
English Proficiency	127	100.0	40.9	44.3	14.8	N/A	14.0	17.0
imited English proficient	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	124	100.0	41.2	43.9	14.9	N/A	14.9	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	124	100.0	71.2	40.0	14.5	11//	14.5	17.0
Subsidized meals	87	100.0	45.5	48.1	6.5	N/A	6.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	40	100.0	31.6	36.8	31.6	N/A	31.6	17.6
. ,								
				Mathe	matics			
All students	127	100.0	35.7	44.3	12.2	7.8	20.0	15.5
Gender								
Male	68	100.0	44.1	33.9	11.9	10.2	22.0	15.5
emale	59	100.0	26.8	55.4	12.5	5.4	17.9	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	84	100.0	34.2	43.0	12.7	10.1	22.8	15.5
African-American	39	100.0	41.2	44.1	11.8	2.9	14.7	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	116	100.0	34.0	44.3	13.2	8.5	21.7	15.5
Disabled	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status		0.0	NI/A	11/4	N1/A	NI/A	N.1/A	45.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	127	100.0	35.7	44.3	12.2	7.8	20.0	15.5
English Proficiency		400.0						4= =
imited English proficient	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	124	100.0	36.0	43.9	12.3	7.9	20.2	15.5
Socio-Economic Status		400.0	00.4	10.1	44.7	0.0	440	45.5
Subsidized meals	87	100.0	36.4	49.4	11.7	2.6	14.3	15.5
Full-pay meals	40	100.0	34.2	34.2	13.2	18.4	31.6	15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		olly	16,463	leste 19	OW	B85.	Skoy.	Advaloprofi
		Enoug	34 of 162 0/0	leste ologi	/ %	/ 0/0	0/0	Adva olo Profi
					n/Langua	ge Arts	/	Í
	Grade 3	46	N/A	30.4	56.5	13.0	N/A	13.0
	Grade 4	36	N/A	27.8	50.0	22.2	N/A	22.2
2002	Grade 5	50	N/A	44.0	52.0	4.0	N/A	4.0
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	46	100.0	22.0	53.7	24.4	N/A	24.4
	Grade 4	42	100.0	55.0	32.5	12.5	N/A	12.5
8	Grade 5	39	100.0	47.1	47.1	5.9	N/A	5.9
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	46	N/A	34.8	34.8	23.9	6.5	30.4
	Grade 4	36	N/A	44.4	41.7	5.6	8.3	13.9
2002	Grade 5	50	N/A	46.0	42.0	10.0	2.0	12.0
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	46	100.0	24.4	51.2	14.6	9.8	24.4
	Grade 4	42	100.0	47.5	40.0	10.0	2.5	12.5
2003	Grade 5	39	100.0	35.3	41.2	11.8	11.8	23.5
8	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 227)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.7%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	96.3%	Up from 96.0%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.2%	No change	11.6%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2.4%	Down from 3.3%	8.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	N/A	N/A	1.3%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 15)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	46.7%	Up from 41.2%	46.4%	50.0%
	80.0%	Up from 76.5%	85.3%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 85.9%	Down from 89.8%	86.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.6%	Down from 97.4%	95.3%	95.3%
	\$38,754	Down 1.6%	\$39,347	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	13.8 days	Down from 14.9 days	12.8 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	19.1 to 1	Up from 18.0 to 1	18.6 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.6%	Down from 92.4%	89.5%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,333	Down 4.9%	\$5,876	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.7%	Down from 65.6%	66.1%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to above in high neverty cabools	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	for	Miccina	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuff	ent Sample
--	------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

WOW! What an exciting year! The students, faculty/staff, and parents at Alma Elementary School experienced a year of wonderful and exciting opportunities. We received a prestigious Exemplary Writing Award and received a 21st Century Community Learning Centers grant. Eight teachers and the principal completed a 3-year staff development program wit the South Carolina Reading Initiative (SCRI).

Our main focus was to provide a balanced literacy program, integrate across the curriculum, promote a sound mathematical program, analyze student and school test data, and recognize student accomplishments. We implemented an Enrichment Period (Fifth Block) designed to accelerate and remediate all students in language arts. We participated in numerous math staff development activities and provided many opportunities for parental involvement. Our students also participated in numerous community and school service projects.

Celebrations and rewards were abundant at Alma. Each six weeks, students were recognized at a Student Recognition Program. Students also excelled with Accelerated Reader and in the Compass Computer Lab. Our teachers also achieved many accomplishments. Ms. Neva LeMaster was our school's Reading Teacher of the Year and the District's Reading Teacher of the Year, while Ms. Kimberly Boudrot was selected as Alma's Teacher of the Year. We are very proud of our business partners, our Lunch Buddy program, our Teacher Cadet program and our community support.

Alma Elementary is the "heart" of the community. The support of many ensures that our students receive quality educational programs in a positive, safe, and happy environment. We invite you to visit Alma Elementary School, a place "where kids are special people".

Malinda Patterson, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.